Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/532,102	NIELSEN, POUL TORBEN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nicholas Woodall	3733
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>	
(1) Nicholas Woodall.	(3) Eduardo Robert.	
(2) <u>James Wray</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 September 2007	Time: <u>1:00pm</u>	·
Type of Interview:		
Part I.	•	
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 112 2 nd Rejection directed to claim 13	•	
Claims discussed: NA		·
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.	•	·
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
EDVARDOM, ROBERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXA	- MINER	
Al Wordell		<u> </u>
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	ant/Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the applicant's representative regarding claim 13 of the application. The previous office action rejected claim 13 under 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph for having a braod limitation followed by a narrow limitation in the claim. The examiner requested approval from the applicant's representative to perform an examiner's amendment to claim 13 to remove the narrow limitation from the claim and to add claim 14 depending from claim 13 with the narrow limitation. The applicant's representative granted approval for the examiner's amendment.